

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 23, 1999 LB 211

Department of Health and Human Services. And as we consider alternatives to the amendments that have been proposed today, I think it's important that we keep that centrally located and not defuse some of those issues, because it's important that we have people who work in the area of enforcement who have experience working with the act, for the last 20 years, be making those determinations for us in state government as we look, as an employer, at these issues. I think they are the agency that we should have in charge. So that would be a little different than Senator Peterson's amendment, which would have given that to the various agencies that have control of the buildings. I think it's important we keep it with Health and Human Services, because of their experience in the area. But I also think it's very good that we're discussing this issue and we haven't gotten back to the core reasons or discussed those on the floor, which we all know. And that is that we know a lot more, in the last five to ten years, about secondhand smoke, as a society and as a government, than we did a long time ago, and that one of the issues we need to be thinking about is the impact of secondhand smoke on our employees, as well as the people who come and frequent our state buildings. I support Senator Preister's efforts to be more specific in that regard and support this amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Schmitt. Further debate on the Preister amendment? Senator Preister, you're recognized to close on your amendment.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Mr. President. The discussions are continuing on the issue and what we may do from here. I think that Senator Bohlke has an amendment that she may be putting up to bracket for a couple of days. And, if that's the case, we can finish working out the language. I think there are a couple of issues, but for the most part we've got pretty much agreement on what we would like to do, and we can get together. There is a lot of interest in this, which I'm very glad to see. We can finalize the language that meets the concerns of Senator Janssen, in what we do in those student dormitories, where it's already pretty much restricted, and also what happens at State Fair Park, that Senator Schellpeper raises, and with those two issues. As Senator Bohlke said, this has had three public hearings, and we have had input from various people. There have